AD-A102 876 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DEPT O--ETC F/G 7/3
PHOTOPHYSICS AND PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF PENTACARBONYLPYRIDINO-TUNGST--ETC(U)
AUG 81 A J LEES, A W ADAMSON N00014-76-C-0548
UNCLASSIFIED TR-15 NL



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER: 15	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.: AD-A102 876	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle): Photophysics and Photochemistry of Pentacarbonyl-pyridino-tungsten(0) Complexes Which Luminesce in Fluid Solution.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED: Technical Report #15, 1981
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s): Alistair J. Lees and Arthur W. Adamson		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s): N00014-76-C-0548
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS: University of Southern California, Department of Chemistry, Los Angeles, CA 90007		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS: NR 051-609
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS: Office of Naval Research (Code 472), Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE: August, 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES: 46
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report): Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

LEVEL II

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

DTIC ELECTE AUG 14 1981 C

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Tungsten	Emission
Carbonyl Complexes	Quenching
Group Six Complexes	Kinetics
Photochemistry	Substitution
Photophysics	

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

See manuscript.

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102 LF 014 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-76-C-0548

Task No. NR 051-609

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 15

Photophysics and Photochemistry of Pentacarbonylpyridino-tungsten(0) Complexes Which Luminesce in Fluid Solution

by

Alistair J. Lees and Arthur W. Adamson

Prepared for Publication

for

Journal of the American Chemical Society

University of Southern California
Department of Chemistry
Los Angeles, California 90007

August 5, 1981

Photophysics and Photochemistry of Pentacarbonylpyridino-tungsten(0) Complexes which Luminesce in Fluid Solution

Alistair J. Lees and Arthur W. Adamson

Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007

Abstract

Luminescence data are reported for $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, where L = 4-acetylpyridine, 4-benzoylpyridine, 4-cyanopyridine and 4-formylpyridine, as solids, and in fluid solution. Photosubstitution quantum yields (for the dissociation of L) have been recorded for these $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in several solvents using various irradiation wavelengths. Apparent activation energies for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in several solvents have been measured from the temperature dependencies of the emission and photoreactivity processes. Quenching of the emission and photoreactivity processes are reported for several quenchers; the results are used to bracket the energies of the emitting state for each complex. Two low-lying metal to ligand change-transfer excited states are implicated in the radiative decay process. Possible excited state schemes for these $W(CO)_5L$ complexes are discussed in accordance with the experimental observations. Excited state absorption and primary photoproduct spectra have been recorded for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in methylcyclohexane and benzene by monitoring techniques. A common photoproduct is inferred, its spectrum being close to that of $W(CO)_5S$, where S denotes solvent, following pulse laser photolysis of $W(CO)_6$ as previously reported by us.

Introduction

Following initial studies by Strohmeier and coworkers[1-5] the photochemical behavior of group 6b metal carbonyls and their derivatives has been the subject of numerous investigations.[6-21] Many of the early papers were centered around the photolysis of the parent hexacarbonyls in solution and as rigid glasses. More recently, conventional flash photolysis techniques have provided information about intermediates produced in these photolyses.[22-27]

Of current interest[28] is the photochemistry of metal complexes having low-lying metal to ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) excited states,[29-41] particularly substituted mononuclear metal carbonyls.[42-59] Complexes of the general formula $W(CO)_5L$, where L is an oxygen or nitrogen donor, have intriguing spectroscopic and photochemical characteristics. In rigid glasses at 77 K they luminesce, the emission having been assigned to either a $^3E \rightarrow {}^1A_1$ ligand-field (LF) transition or a $W \rightarrow L$ charge-transfer (CT) transition, depending on the nature of L.[51,60,61] The electronic absorption spectra indicate that as L becomes more electron withdrawing, the CT state lowers in energy, and for various substituted pyridines as L, has been inferred to be the lowest lying state.[51] The $W \rightarrow L$ CT state has been shown to be significantly less reactive than the lowest LF state for substitution of L in $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, analogous to previous findings for $Ru(NH_3)_5L^{2+}$ complexes.[29-34] Therefore, in the cases of $W(CO)_5L$ complexes where a $W \rightarrow L$ CT absorption is the lowest energy absorption, the photosubstitution quantum yield of L in $W(CO)_5L$ is relatively low. Correspondingly, the luminescence from $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in EPA at 77 K was found to shift to lower energy maxima and to show an increase in emission lifetime with increasing electron-withdrawing

effect of L. This was the case for $W(CO)_5L$ complexes where L = 4-ACpyr (4-acetylpyridine), 4-BNpyr (4-benzoylpyridine), 4-CNpyr (4-cyanopyridine) and 4-FMpyr (4-formylpyridine).[51]

$W(CO)_5L$ complexes were not thought to luminesce in room temperature solutions, presumably because of rapid ligand dissociation and non-radiative decay to the ground state. However, recently we have observed emission from $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in room temperature solution and the quenching of emission and photosubstitution reactivity by anthracene.[62] As a consequence, a complete study of the photochemistry and photophysics of $W(CO)_5L$ complexes has been undertaken, the results of which are reported here.

Results

Synthesis of $W(CO)_5L$. $W(CO)_5L$ complexes were prepared either directly (reaction (1)) or via the tetrahydrofuran complex, $W(CO)_5$(THF), (reactions (2) - (3)) according to a literature procedure.[5,9]

$$W(CO)_6 \xrightarrow[\substack{\text{L}\\ \text{Ar purged}\\ \text{methylcyclohexane}}]{h\nu} W(CO)_5L + CO \qquad (1)$$

$$W(CO)_6 \xrightarrow[\substack{\text{Ar purged}\\ \text{THF}}]{h\nu} W(CO)_5(THF) + CO \qquad (2)$$

$$W(CO)_5(THF) \xrightarrow[\text{L}]{\Delta} W(CO)_5L + THF \qquad (3)$$

Reaction (1) was used to prepare $W(CO)_5L$, where L = pyridine, piperidine, diethylmethylamine, triethylamine, 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine, 2-acetylpyridine, 4-acetylpyridine, 2-benzoylpyridine, 4-benzoylpyridine, 4-cyanopyridine, 4-formylpyridine, acetone, diethylether, ethanol, and 1-pentene. A major limitation of this procedure, however, is that during production of $W(CO)_5L$ secondary photochemical reactions can take place which may lead to other substituted products. The route involving prior photogeneration of the tetrahydrofuran complex, $W(CO)_5(THF)$ (reactions (2)-(3)), has considerable precedence, as it largely avoids secondary photolysis products. Reactions (2) - (3) were used to prepare $W(CO)_5L$, where L = pyridine, piperidine, 4-acetylpyridine, 4-benzoylpyridine, 4-cyanopyridine and 4-formylpyridine, and the products were isolated as solid complexes.

Electronic Absorption Spectra. Absorption spectra in the 300 - 600 nm region for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) and $W(CO)_6$ in methylcyclohexane are shown in Figure 1a. A comparison of the absorption spectra of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and 1:1 (by volume) methylcyclohexane/benzene is illustrated in Figure 1b. Absorption spectral data for the complexes studied are summarized in Table I.

Luminescence Data. Corrected emission spectra for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr), as solids, and in methylcyclohexane and benzene at 298 K are shown in Figure 2. The band maxima and luminescence quantum yields are summarized in Table II. The emission spectra at 298 K were found to be independent of excitation wavelength; the emission from $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) was also determined in methylcyclohexane

using 530 nm excitation from the Nd glass laser and was the same within experimental error to that shown in Figure 2. For $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in a methylcyclohexane glass at 77 K the emission spectrum and emission lifetime recorded following 353 nm excitation was as reported previously.[51] Emission was not observed from the glass using 530 nm excitation.

Very weak emissions were observed in the 500 - 600 nm region following pulsed excitation at 353 nm of $5x10^{-5}$ - $2x10^{-4}$ M solutions of $W(CO)_5L$ in methylcyclohexane at 298 K, where L is pyridine, piperidine, diethylmethylamine, triethylamine, 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine, 2-acetylpyridine, 2-benzoylpyridine, acetone, diethylether, ethanol, and 1-pentene. The emissions from these solutions followed the Nd laser pulse, that is, the lifetimes were less than 10 ns.

The relative emission intensity of $5.5x10^{-5}$M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) in methylcyclohexane as a function of temperature was measured using 353 nm excitation. The relative emission intensities at 278, 283, 288, 293, 298 and 303 K are 5.9, 6.3, 7.1, 7.4, 7.7 and 8.5 respectively; the least squares line, $\ln I = A \exp(-E^*/RT)$, corresponds to an apparent activation energy of 2.4 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}.

Emission lifetimes (τ) recorded from $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) as solids or in solution as a function of temperature are reported in Table III. Emission lifetimes were observed to be the same following either 353 nm or 530 nm excitation. Plots of $\ln 1/\tau$ versus $1/T$ give good Arrhenius behavior for the solid complexes and the solutions studied, the least-squares line being $1/\tau = A \exp(-E^*/RT)$, R in kcal; the apparent activation energies (E^*) and frequency factors (A) are shown in Table IV. The activation energies are estimated to be accurate to ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}.

The luminescence of 1.4×10^{-2} M benzophenone and 2.5×10^{-1} M biacetyl was quenched by 2 - 5×10^{-5}M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(FMpyr) complexes in solution. Emission spectra at 293 K were recorded from solutions of (a) 1.4×10^{-2} M benzophenone in benzene and (b) 1.4×10^{-2} M benzophenone with 4.9×10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) in benzene using 353 nm excitation. At these solution concentrations, excitation at 353 nm can be assumed to be entirely into benzophenone. The intensity of the emission spectrum obtained from (a) is 3.2 times that obtained from (b), correcting the latter spectrum for the inner filter absorption by the $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) complex. Emission lifetimes of the benzophenone emission were recorded from these solutions using 353 nm excitation and were found to be (a) 8 μs and (b) 2.5 μs respectively. Using the Stern-Volmer equation: $\tau°/\tau = 1 + k_q\tau° [Q]$, where $\tau°$ is the lifetime in the absence of quencher, τ is the lifetime with quencher present, and [Q] is the quencher concentration, the bimolecular quenching rate constant k_q is calculated to be 5.6×10^{9} $M^{-1}s^{-1}$.

Emissions from $5\text{-}8\times10^{-5}$ M solutions of $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) in methylcyclohexane were quenched by the presence of 5×10^{-3} M anthracene, 9-methylanthracene and 1,2-benzanthracene. Emission from $5\text{-}8\times10^{-5}$M solutions of $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) in benzene was quenched by the presence of 5×10^{-3} M acenapthaquinone. Emission quenching was not observed from solutions of $5\text{-}8\times10^{-5}$ M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) containing 5×10^{-3} M chrysene, coronene, benzil, fluoranthene and 1,2,5,6-dibenzanthracene. The quenching of the emission lifetime of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) by anthracene follows Stern-Volmer kinetics and is shown in Figure 3. The slope k_q corresponds to a bimolecular quenching rate constant of 4.0×10^{9} $M^{-1}s^{-1}$.

Photosubstitution Reactivity. Figure 4 illustrates the spectral sequence accompanying the 465 nm irradiation of a) $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) in methylcyclohexane and b) $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) in methylcyclohexane, showing progression to near zero terminal absorbance in the 450 - 500 nm region. Before photolysis 0.05 M ethanol was added to each solution; the product in each case is $W(CO)_5(C_2H_5OH)$, apparently uncomplicated by side or subsequent reactions. Quantum yields (ϕ) for this photosubstitution reaction have been measured for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) as a function of solvent and temperature and are reported in Table V. Plots of ln ϕ versus 1/T for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) solutions show good Arrhenius behavior, the least-squares lines corresponding to apparent activation energies of 7.9 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} (methylcyclohexane), 7.8 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} (iso-octane) and 7.6 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} (benzene). The temperature dependencies of quantum yields for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) in methylcyclohexane containing 0.05 M ethanol are reported in Table VI. The data for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) showed good Arrhenius behavior, corresponding to apparent activation energies of 7.9 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} and 7.5 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} respectively. An early loss of isosbestic points was observed on photolysis of $W(CO)_5L$ solutions not containing at least 0.025 M entering ligand. It was noted that there was a lack of dependence of both the photosubstitution quantum yield and emission lifetime on the ethanol concentration in the 0.025 - 0.1 M range; indicating that ethanol is not a quencher in dilute solution and does scavenge completely the photochemically produced $W(CO)_5$ intermediate. Quantum yield data were not obtained for $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr) in methylcyclohexane because of thermal reaction.

The photosubstitution reactivity of 5.5×10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane containing 0.05 M ethanol was recorded at two other

irradiation wavelengths, 430 nm and 520 nm. At the 520 nm irradiation wavelength, the yield is temperature dependent, the values at 283, 288, 293, 298 and 303 K are 0.011, 0.013, 0.016, 0.021 and 0.026 respectively. These data show good Arrhenius behavior, the least-squares line corresponding to an apparent activation energy of 7.6 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}. At the 430 nm irradiation wavelength, the yield is temperature dependent, the values at 283, 288, 293, 298 and 303 K are 0.095, 0.099, 0.110, 0.120 and 0.138 respectively. The least-squares line of an Arrhenius type plot corresponds to an apparent activation energy of 3.2 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}.

Quenching of the photosubstitution reactivity of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) with anthracene was observed using 520 nm irradiation wavelength, near that used in recording the lifetime quenching data. A Stern-Volmer type plot of ϕ^o/ϕ, where superscript zero denotes absence of quencher, is linear against anthracene concentration (see Figure 3). The slope is common with the lifetime data, within experimental error, corresponding to a bimolecular rate constant of 4.0×10^9 $M^{-1}s^{-1}$, about the diffusion controlled limit.

Quenching of the photosubstitution reactivity of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) with anthracene was also observed using 430 nm irradiation wavelength. Quantum yields were recorded over the first 5% of reaction; this largely avoids a build-up of the photoproduct $W(CO)_5$(OEt) which absorbs at this wavelength. The quantum yields do not obey Stern-Volmer kinetics (see Figure 3).

Excited State Absorption and Primary Photoproduct Spectra. Following 353 nm pulse excitation of $4-8 \times 10^{-5}$ M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and benzene the transmitted monitoring beam showed an instantaneous deflection from V_o to V_{in}, that

irradiation wavelengths, 430 nm and 520 nm. At the 520 nm irradiation wavelength, the yield is temperature dependent, the values at 283, 288, 293, 298 and 303 K are 0.011, 0.013, 0.016, 0.021 and 0.026 respectively. These data show good Arrhenius behavior, the least-squares line corresponding to an apparent activation energy of 7.6 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}. At the 430 nm irradiation wavelength, the yield is temperature dependent, the values at 283, 288, 293, 298 and 303 K are 0.095, 0.099, 0.110, 0.120 and 0.138 respectively. The least-squares line of an Arrhenius type plot corresponds to an apparent activation energy of 3.2 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}.

Quenching of the photosubstitution reactivity of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) with anthracene was observed using 520 nm irradiation wavelength, near that used in recording the lifetime quenching data. A Stern-Volmer type plot of ϕ^o/ϕ, where superscript zero denotes absence of quencher, is linear against anthracene concentration (see Figure 3). The slope is common with the lifetime data, within experimental error, corresponding to a bimolecular rate constant of 4.0×10^9 $M^{-1}s^{-1}$, about the diffusion controlled limit.

Quenching of the photosubstitution reactivity of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) with anthracene was also observed using 430 nm irradiation wavelength. Quantum yields were recorded over the first 5% of reaction; this largely avoids a build-up of the photoproduct $W(CO)_5$(OEt) which absorbs at this wavelength. The quantum yields do not obey Stern-Volmer kinetics (see Figure 3).

Excited State Absorption and Primary Photoproduct Spectra. Following 353 nm pulse excitation of $4-8 \times 10^{-5}$ M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and benzene the transmitted monitoring beam showed an instantaneous deflection from V_o to V_{in}, that

is, either an increase or decrease which followed the 20 ns laser pulse. Here, V denotes voltage at the oscilloscope signal from the photomultiplier. A measurably slow change then occurred, in which V_t, the intensity at time $\underline{t}$ after the pulse, either increased or decreased to a final value V_∞. The instantaneous and relatively slow deflections are assigned to excited state absorption (ESA) and the formation of primary photoproduct (PP) respectively. The extinction coefficients of the excited state absorption and the primary photoproduct were calculated using equations (4) and (5).

$$\epsilon_{ESA} = \frac{\log_{10} V_o/V_{in} + 0.9\ D_o}{0.9\ C_o} \qquad (4)$$

$$\epsilon_{PP} = \frac{\log_{10} V_o/I_\infty + 0.9\ D_o}{0.9\ C_o} \qquad (5)$$

C_o is the concentration of the complex, and D_o is the optical density at the monitoring wavelength. Equations (4) and (5) correct for the monitoring geometry (see Experimental). The actual degree of photolysis by a single laser pulse was essentially 100% in the monitoring volume.

The calculated excited state absorption spectra for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and benzene are shown in Figure 5. The decay of the excited state absorption for any of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes was observed to be a single exponential, equal within experimental error to the emission lifetime reported in Table III. The absorption spectra of the primary photoproducts following excitation of $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and benzene are shown in Figure 6.

Discussion

Electronic Absorption Spectra. The absorption spectra shown in Figure 1 show two distinct absorption maxima (both with $\varepsilon > 5 \times 10^3\ M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) for each of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in methylcyclohexane. The higher energy absorption band maxima at 403 nm (± 2 nm) are essentially unshifted by variations in the nature of the substituent on the pyridine ligand and the solvent medium (see Figure 1 and Table I). The lower energy absorption band maxima are observed in the range 435 - 470 nm and their positions illustrate an extraordinary dependence on the nature of ligand substituent and the solvent medium (see Figure 1 and Table I). As the nature of the pyridine ligand substituent becomes more electron withdrawing, that is, as one moves from L = 4-ACpyr to L = 4-FMpyr, the band undergoes a red shift. In a more polar solvent medium the band appears to reduce in intensity and to blue shift; for the solvents benzene, tetrahydrofuran and ethanol, this band progressively overlaps with the high energy transition and their maxima becomes indistinguishable (see Table I). These absorption bands at ~ 403 nm and 435 - 470 nm have been previously assigned to a ligand-field (LF) $^1A_1(e^4b_2^2) \rightarrow {}^1E(e^3b_2^2a_1^1)$ transition and a metal to ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) transition respectively.[51] Our data supports this assignment.

Weaker absorption bands are observed in the $W(CO)_5L$ spectra shown in Figure 1. The maxima at ~ 330 nm and shoulders at ~ 370 nm do not shift appreciably with variations of the pyridine ligand substituent and the solvent polarity. These absorption bands are assigned as ligand-field transitions. The low energy shoulders to the 435 - 470 nm bands are sensitive to changes in the nature of ligand substituent and solvent polarity. This absorption, in the 490 - 520 nm range, is therefore again assigned as a metal to ligand charge-transfer transition. The

use of spin multiplicity designations has been avoided in these assignments because there is expected to be substantial coupling of spin and orbital angular moments for such heavy transition metal complexes.

The energy of an excited state formed immediately following the absorption process can be approximated from the long wavelength tail $\bar{\nu}_{0-0}$ of a broad-band absorption. We have assumed the absorption bands in the $W(CO)_5L$ spectrum to be gaussian in shape, and have taken the $\bar{\nu}_{0-0}$ transition to be at $\bar{\nu}_{5\%}$, where absorption intensity at $\bar{\nu}_{5\%}$ = (0.05) (absorption intensity at $\bar{\nu}_{max}$). From the electronic spectra of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, where L is 4-ACpyr, 4-BNpyr, 4-CNpyr and 4-FMpyr in methylcyclohexane, iso-octane and benzene the positions of the LF transition $\bar{\nu}_{5\%}$ are estimated to be 22.6 ± 0.4 kK. From these electronic spectra the positions of the MLCT transitions are estimated to be at 19.5 ± 0.4 kK and 18.5 ± 0.4 kK.

Luminescence Data. Luminescence with quantum yields of the order of 10^{-4} - 10^{-3} was observed from $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, where L is 4-ACpyr, 4-BNpyr, 4-CNpyr and 4-FMpyr following excitation in fluid solution (see Figure 2 and Table II). These results are the first of their kind obtained from group 6b metal carbonyl complexes. Very weak emissions with decays which were immeasurable on our apparatus were observed from other $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in fluid solution, where L is pyridine, piperidine, diethylmethylamine, triethylamine, 2,4,6-trimethypyridine, 2-acetylpyridine, 2-benzoylpyridine, acetone, diethylether, ethanol and 1-pentene. The more electron-withdrawing substituents give rise to lower energy MLCT excited states; when the ligand substituent is the acetyl, benzoyl, cyano or formyl groups and in the para position on the pyridine, the MLCT excited states move below the LF excited state.

The $W(CO)_5L$ complexes which have MLCT excited states as the lowest energy absorption features (see Figure 1, Table I and reference 51) exhibit measurable luminescence and relatively long radiative decays in fluid solution. These observations support those made previously for $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in EPA glasses.[51]

Solvent effects for the $W(CO)_5L$ luminescence are also noticeable. For the non-polar solvents studied, the emissions were generally of greater yield and with longer lifetime compared to the more polar solvents. For the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in tetrahydrofuran and ethanol, the luminescence was very weak and the emission lifetimes were relatively short. These effects are attributed to an increased rate of nonradiative decay from the excited state of $W(CO)_5L$ in the more polar solvents. As a result of thermal substitution reactions of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in tetrahydrofuran and ethanol, lifetime data were not obtained for temperatures above 283 K.

The pattern for our $W(CO)_5L$ series is that if the MLCT absorptions lie below the first ligand field absorption, emission of relatively long lifetime is observed. As a caution against generalization, the complex $W(CO)_5$(benzo[c]cinnoline) showed no detectable emission, yet has a MLCT absorption at 533 nm in n-hexane solvent,[55] or well below the energy of such absorptions in our series. The benzo[c]cinnoline ligand is presumably coordinated through one of the nitrogen atoms.[55]



It is not clear why this complex behaves differently from those of our series, but it may be relevant that the 538 nm MLCT band does not show a long wavelength shoulder, while our series of complexes do.

The energy of an emitting state can be approximated from the short wavelength tail of the emission spectrum. We have assumed the emission band from a $W(CO)_5L$ complex to be gaussian, and have taken the $\bar{\nu}_{0-0}$ transition to be $\bar{\nu}_{5\%}$, where emission intensity at $\bar{\nu}_{5\%} = (0.05)$ (emission intensity at $\bar{\nu}_{max}$). The positions of the emitting state ($\bar{\nu}_{5\%}$) for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, where L is 4-ACpyr, 4-BNpyr, 4-CNpyr and 4-FNpyr in methylcyclohexane and benzene were thus estimated from the emission spectra of Figure 2 to be 19.6 ± 0.4 kK in each case. This value is equal within experimental error to the $\bar{\nu}_{0-0}$ of the higher energy MLCT absorption; the emission is therefore assigned to this transition for each of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes. The MLCT excited state which was estimated to have an energy of 18.5 ± 0.4 kK has too low an energy to be the emitting state.

The luminescence data that was obtained from the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in solution was observed to be the same following either 353 nm or 530 nm excitation pulses. The pulse energy of 530 nm excitation corresponds to 18.9 kK, too low to populate the MLCT excited state at 19.6 ± 0.4 kK. It is assumed that thermal energy is required from the solution to populate this state. However, at glass temperatures very little energy will be available to the excited $W(CO)_5L$ molecule from its environment and excitation at 530 nm should not produce the emitting state. This we confirmed by experiment; $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in a methylcyclohexane glass at 77 K was observed to luminesce following 353 nm excitation, but no luminescence was observed following 530 nm excitation. This is further evidence that it is the higher-energy MLCT excited state that is the emitting state.

The apparent activation energy for the emission intensity of $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) in methylcyclohexane is 2.4 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}, and equal to the mean of the apparent activation energies obtained from the temperature dependence of the emission lifetimes for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in solution (Table IV). This activation energy, within experimental error, corresponds to the energy differences assigned between the low-lying MLCT excited states. Furthermore, the emission intensity increases and the emission lifetime decreases as the solution temperature is raised, behavior which is uncharacteristic of a single emitting state. These results imply that the higher-energy MLCT excited state (the emitting one) is thermally populated by the lower-energy MLCT excited state for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes which luminesce in fluid solution.

Quenching experiments were undertaken to bracket the energies of the excited states of $W(CO)_5L$, where L is 4-ACpyr, 4-BNpyr, 4-CNpyr and 4-FMpyr. These $W(CO)_5L$ complexes were observed to act as quenchers at approximately diffusion controlled rates for the phosphorescence of benzophenone and biacetyl. The triplet energies (E_T) for benzophenone and biacetyl are 24.0 kK and 19.2 kK respectively.[63] Assuming that the quenching process is energy transfer, this places the energy of the quenching excited state of $W(CO)_5L$ as $\lesssim$ 19.2 kK. The $W(CO)_5L$ emission was also quenched by several anthracenes, which strongly suggests that energy transfer is the quenching mechanism. $W(CO)_5L$ emissions were quenched by anthracene (E_T = 14.7 kK),[64] 9-methylanthracene (E_T = 16.2 kK),[64] 1,2-benzanthracene (E_T = 16.5 kK)[64] and acenaphthaquinone (E_T = 17.9 kK),[65] but not by 1,2,5,6-dibenzanthracene (E_T = 18.3 kK),[64] fluoranthene (E_T = 18.5 kK),[64] benzil (E_T = 18.8 kK),[63] coronene (E_T = 19.1 kK)[64] or chrysene (E_T = 20.0 kK).[64] These results bracket the energy

of the quenchable excited state of $W(CO)_5L$ to be 17.9 - 18.3 kK. The data imply that it is only the lower-energy MLCT excited state (18.5 ± 0.4 kK) which is involved in the energy transfer mechanism.

The quenching of the emission lifetime of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) follows Stern-Volmer kinetics (Figure 3) with a bimolecular quenching rate constant approaching that of the diffusion controlled limit.

Photosubstitution Reactivity. Photodissociation of ligand (L) has been shown to be the most efficient chemical process over our excitation region (equation (6)).[15,51,57]

$$W(CO)_5L \xrightarrow[C_2H_5OH]{h\nu} W(CO)_5(C_2H_5OH) \qquad (6)$$

In the presence of an excess of entering ligand eg. 0.025 - 0.1 M ethanol, the photosubstitution reaction appears to be uncomplicated by side or subsequent reactions. Figure 4 illustrates the spectral sequence accompanying the 465 nm photolyses of $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, showing a clear progression to near zero terminal absorbance in the 450 - 500 nm region. The quantum yields, ϕ, determined for the reaction (6) are listed in Table V. The low values are consistent with results reported for substituted pyridine ligands of this type;[51] this seems to be characteristic of the case where the MLCT state lies below the LF state. Quantum yields for complexes in which the LF state is lowest in energy are significantly higher.[51]

The quantum yield for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) undergoing reaction (6), does not show a solvent dependence. In tetrahydrofuran and ethanol, the quantum yields for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) were measured at 283 K only since thermal substitution reactions were taking place at higher temperatures. The

lack of dependence of the quantum yield on ethanol concentration is a good indication that ethanol is not a quencher in solution, and does scavenge completely the photochemically produced $W(CO)_5$ intermediate.

The quantum yield for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) is temperature dependent. The apparent activation energies for the photosubstitution quantum yields at 465 nm of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane, iso-octane and benzene are the same within experimental error, with a mean value of 7.8 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}. Quantum yields for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) were lower than the above ones at any given temperature (see Table VI), the data corresponding to apparent activation energies of 7.9 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} and 7.5 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1} respectively. These activation energies correspond, within experimental error, to the energy difference between the higher energy MLCT state (19.6 ± 0.4 kK) and the LF state (22.6 ± 0.4 kK); the implication is that chemical reaction occurs from the higher lying LF state, which is in steady-state equilibrium with the emitting CT state. The quantum yield for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane is dependent on the irradiation wavelength, being larger following higher energy excitation. The apparent activation energy following 520 nm photolysis (7.6 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}) is the same within experimental error to that observed for the 465 nm photolysis (7.9 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}), whereas the apparent activation energy following 430 nm photolysis (3.2 ± 1 kcal mol^{-1}) is significantly lower. We attribute these observations to direct population of the LF excited state following 430 nm excitation, which gives rise to substitution at a competitive rate to internal conversion to the relatively unreactive low-lying MLCT states.

The quenching of the photosubstitution quantum yield of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) by anthracene at 520 nm follows Stern-Volmer kinetics, with a common slope within experimental error to that observed for the emission lifetime

quenching (Figure 3). This result strongly indicates that the emitting state of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) is implicated in the photochemistry. Quantum yield quenching measurements are also recorded using 430 nm excitation. The data does not obey Stern-Volmer kinetics (see Figure 3). The non-linear behavior is further evidence of direct population of the LF excited state following 430 nm excitation which chemically reacts at a competitive rate to internal conversion to the quenchable low-lying MLCT states.

Whereas the photoreactivity (ϕ) quenching data for $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane is dependent on the excitation wavelength, the emission lifetime (τ) quenching data can be assumed to obey the measured Stern-Volmer type kinetics at any excitation wavelength. The extent of unquenchable photolysis at 430 nm of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane is obtainable from a plot of ϕ/ϕ° versus τ/τ°,[66] as shown in Figure 7. The intercept gives the unquenchable yield, 33% in this case. We attribute this yield to direct chemical reaction through the LF excited state following 430 nm excitation of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane.

Excited State Absorption and Primary Photoproduct Spectra. The excited state absorption (ESA) spectra for $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane and benzene show two absorptions (Figure 5). The absorptions decay with the lifetime of the emitting state. We therefore assign the ESA absorption features to be due to transitions from the higher-energy MLCT state to high energy excited states.

The absorption spectra of the primary photoproducts of $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) following laser pulse photolysis are coincident within experimental error in methylcyclohexane (λ_{max} = 428 nm)

and benzene (λ_{max} = 402 nm) (see Figure 6). For the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes in methylcyclohexane, the value of ε_{max} is 6.7×10^3 M^{-1} cm^{-1}, and the position of the maximum is within the range of wavelengths reported for tungsten pentacarbonyl species generated in low temperature SF_6 (461 nm), Ar (437 nm), Xe (417 nm) and CH_4 (413 nm) matrices,[13] as well as in the pulsed radiolysis study in cyclohexane (415 nm).[67] Recently, we have shown that the intermediate produced in the laser pulse photolysis of $W(CO)_6$ in methylcyclohexane at room temperature is a solvent coordinated species, $W(CO)_5S$, which is then scavenged by the entering ligand.[27] The absorption spectrum of this intermediate is the same within experimental error as that shown here, which implies that the common primary photoproduct of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes is the $W(CO)_5S$ species.

Summary

Our results have shown that the emitting state of the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes is implicated in the photochemistry, that is, chemical reaction occurs from or via this state. Two low-lying MLCT excited states are inferred, the emitting one at 19.6 ± 0.4 kK, and one which is quenchable at 18.5 ± 0.4 kK. A LF excited state at 22.6 ± 0.4 kK has been estimated from the electronic absorption spectra. Possible excited state schemes for the $W(CO)_5L$ complexes which luminesce in fluid solution are shown in Figure 8.

Scheme (a) shows the reactive state to be the lowest-lying MLCT state. The photochemical reaction presumably occurs by dissociation of the W-L bond to form a $W(CO)_5$ [illegible] intermediate, which is then scavenged by the entering ligand. The W-L bond-breaking reaction must then be activated to the extent of 7.6 [illegible] $kcal\ mol^{-1}$.

Scheme (b) presents an attractive alternative, in which chemical reaction occurs from the LF state lying above the low-lying MLCT states, and in steady-state equilibrium with them. The observed activation energy for the photosubstitution reaction is now attributed primarily to the energy difference between the LF and MLCT states. In this scheme, excitation leads, through the intersystem crossing, to a MLCT state. This state is emitting but not highly chemically reactive. The higher energy LF state does react efficiently, but the overall quantum yield is small because of the competition with non-radiative decay at the MLCT state. The detailed kinetics for such a system have been reported elsewhere.[68-70] At higher excitation energies, the LF state is directly populated, and as a consequence, the quantum yields for photosubstituion are increased. Similarly, at higher excitation energy the $W(CO)_5L$ photosubstitution shows a significantly lower temperature dependence and the quenching data do not obey Stern-Volmer kinetics. This type of scheme (b) has been proposed to explain the photochemical behavior of $Ru(NH_3)_5L^{2+}$ complexes[33] as well as for the present type of carbonyl complexes.[56,71] It has the appealing feature of accounting for the increase in the quantum yield for photosubstitution for $W(CO)_5L$ complexes, where L is such that the MLCT absorption bands are at higher energy than the LF one.

Experimental

Materials. - Tungsten hexacarbonyl (Strem Chemicals) was purified by sublimation. The ligands pyridine, piperidine, diethylmethylamine, triethylamine, 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine, acetone, diethylether and ethanol are commercially available and were distilled prior to use. The ligands 1-pentene (Tridom Chemical), 2-acetylpyridine, 4-acetylpyridine, 2-benzoylpyridine, 4-benzoylpyridine, 4-cyanopyridine and 4-formylpyridine

(Aldrich) were used without further purification. Chemicals used in quenching experiments were obtained from Aldrich and purified as follows: anthracene (recrystallized from benzene), acenapthaquinone (recrystallized from ethanol), 1,2-benzanthracene (resublimed), benzil (resublimed), benzophenone (recrystallized five times from ethanol), biacetyl (distilled twice), 1,2,5,6-dibenzanthracene (recrystallized from benzene), and 9-methylanthracene (recrystallized from benzene); coronene, chrysene and fluoranthene were used without further purification. Solvents used were obtained from MCB Manufacturing Chemists and were OmniSolv grade. The methylcyclohexane, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and ethanol however, were further purified by several distillations, so as to remove emitting impurities. Alumina (MCB Manufacturing Chemists) and silica gel (Matheson, Coleman and Bell) were used as chromatographic sorbents.

Synthesis of $W(CO)_5L$ - $W(CO)_5L$ complexes were prepared in solution by 313 nm photolysis of 10^{-4} M $W(CO)_6$ and 0.1 M L in argon purged methylcyclohexane (reaction (1)). $W(CO)_5L$ complexes were prepared and isolated by reacting 4 mmole of photoproduced $W(CO)_5(THF)$ with 4 mmol L in argon purged THF, (reactions (2)-(3)). The THF was removed by rotary evaporation and the solid product was redissolved in iso-octane and purified by column chromatography on alumina or silica gel. The main impurities were found to be unreacted $W(CO)_6$ and L. Elution first was with iso-octane until the UV absorption features of $W(CO)_6$ could no longer be detected in the eluant, followed by elution of the product complex with benzene or toluene. The product was recovered by rotary evaporation and further purified by recrystallization. The infrared and uv-visible absorption spectra agreed well with those published.[51] Chromatographic adsorbents, elution agents, crystallization solvents

and elemental analyses are given below.

$W(CO)_5$(pyridine): alumina, benzene, iso-octane/benzene.

Found: C, 30.1%; H, 1.4%; N, 3.3%.

Calculated: C, 29.8%; H, 1.3%; N, 3.5%.

$W(CO)_5$(piperidine): alumina, benzene, iso-octane/benzene.

Found: C, 29.0%; H, 2.7%; N, 3.7%.

Calculated: C, 29.4%; H, 2.7%; N, 3.4%.

$W(CO)_5$(4-acetylpyridine): alumina, benzene, n-hexane/benzene.

Found: C, 32.9%; H, 1.8%; N, 3.3%.

Calculated: C, 32.4%; H, 1.6%; N, 3.1%.

$W(CO)_5$(4-benzoylpyridine): alumina, benzene, n-hexane/benzene.

Found: C, 41.0%; H, 1.9%; N, 2.8%.

Calculated: C, 40.3%; H, 1.8%; N, 2.8%.

$W(CO)_5$(4-cyanopyridine): alumina, benzene, iso-octane/toluene.

Found: C, 30.3%; H, 1.0%; N, 6.8%.

Calculated: C, 30.9%; H, 0.9%; N, 6.5%.

$W(CO)_5$(4-formylpyridine): silica gel, benzene/iso-octane (1:2), iso-octane/benzene.

Found: C, 30.0%; H, 1.1%; N, 3.5%.

Calculated: C, 30.7%; H, 1.2%; N, 3.3%.

Equipment and Procedures

Details of the laser photolysis and monitoring equipment are described elsewhere.[72,73] Emission lifetimes were recorded using either frequency doubled (530 nm) or tripled (353 nm) 20-ns pulses from the Nd glass laser and considered to be accurate to ± 10%. Emission was recorded at any single wavelength (in 600 - 650 nm region) by a Jarrell-Ash Mark 10

monochromator and detected by an RCA 7265 photomultiplier and Tektronix Model 7844 oscilloscope. Corning glass filters were placed on the monochromator entrance slit to block out the laser light but transmit at greater than 580 nm. Plots of log (emission intensity) versus time were linear for all complexes from which luminescence was recorded. Excitation was at 353 nm for monitoring experiments. For these experiments, the 1 cm diameter laser beam was shaped using a cylindrical lens to give an irradiated area of 2x9 mm on the front window of a four clear-sided cell. The monitoring beam traversed the full 1 cm width of the irradiation cell, but the excitation pulse was shaped to be 9 mm wide. Thus the monitoring beam passes through 1 mm of unphotolyzed solution and 9 mm of photolyzed solution. The transmitted monitoring beam was reduced onto the slit of the monochromator, and exited onto a five-stage RCA 4840 photomultiplier. Saturation effects were avoided by employing a mechanical shutter. Typical entrance and exit slits in either experiment were 1 mm.

Emission spectra at 298 K were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Model 650-10S fluorescence spectrophotometer and corrected for the Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier. Emission spectra at 77K were recorded using the Nd glass laser. Luminescence quantum yields were calculated using a known emitter, $Ru(bipy)_3^{2+}$.[40]

Photolysis experiments were performed with an Illumination Industries 200 W medium pressure mercury arc lamp. Rolyn Optics Co. and Baird-Atomic interference filters (10 nm band pass) were used to isolate irradiation wavelengths at 430 nm, 465 nm and 520 nm. Typical light intensities were 10^{-3} - 10^{-7} Einstein s^{-1} determined by Ferrioxalate[74] (430 nm) or Reineckate[75] (465 nm and 520 nm) actinometry. Quantum yield

measurements were made for disappearance of starting material in the 450 - 500 nm region. They were corrected for the small inner filter effects due to product formation and changing degree of light absorption when necessary. Reproducibility was within ± 10%.

In the emission, monitoring and photolysis experiments, sample solutions were filtered immediately before use through a 0.22 μm Millipore filter and transferred to a 1x1 cm spectrofluorimeter cell. The solutions were de-aerated by argon purging for 20 minutes. The temperature of the solution in the cell was controlled to 0.1°C by circulating thermostatted water.

Luminescence data of solid $W(CO)_5L$ were recorded using a triangular cell with the front face at 45° to the exciting light. Conventional absorption spectra were obtained by means of a Cary Model 14R and a Hewlett-Packard 8450A recording spectrophotometers. Infrared spectra were recorded in iso-octane using a Perkin-Elmer Model 281 spectrometer.

Elemental analyses were performed by Analytical Facility, California Institute of Technology.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Professor H. Kisch for the sample of $W(CO)_5$ (benzo[c]cinnoline). This investigation was supported in part by a contract with the U. S. Office of Naval Research.

References and Notes

(1) Strohmeier, W.; von Hobe, D. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 761-765.

(2) Strohmeier, W.; Gerlach, K. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 398-406.

(3) Strohmeier, W.; von Hobe, D. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 2031-2037

(4) Strohmeier, W.; Gerlach, K.; von Hobe, D. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 164-168.

(5) Strohmeier, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1964, 3, 730-737.

(6) Stolz, I. W.; Dobson, G. R.; Sheline, R. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3589-3590.

(7) Stolz, I. W.; Dobson, G. R.; Sheline, R. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1013-1014.

(8) Dobson, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 69, 677-678.

(9) Koerner von Gustorf, E.; Grevels, F.-W. Fortschr. Chem. Forsch. 1969, 13, 366-450 and references therein.

(10) Schwenzer, G.; Darensbourg, M. Y.; Darensbourg, D. J. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 1967-1970.

(11) Graham, M. A.; Rest, A. J.; Turner, J. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 24, C54-C56.

(12) Graham, M. A.; Poliakoff, M.; Turner, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 2939-2948.

(13) Perutz, R. N.; Turner, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4791-4800.

(14) Turner, J. J.; Burdett, J. K.; Perutz, R. N.; Poliakoff, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1977, 49, 271-285.

(15) Wrighton, M.; Hammond, G. S.; Gray, H. B. Mol. Photochem. 1973, 5, 179-193.

(16) Wrighton, M. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74, 401-430.

(17) Wrighton, M. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 905-909.

(18) Hughey, J. L.; Bock, C. R.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4440-4441.

(19) Darensbourg, D. J.; Murphy, M. A. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 884-888.

(20) Darensbourg, D. J.; Murphy, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 463-468.

(21) Black, J. D.; Braterman, P. S. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1980, 44, L181-L182.

(22) McIntyre, J. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1970, 74, 2403-2404.

(23) Nasielski, J.; Kirsch, P.; Wilputte-Steinert, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 29, 269-274.

(24) Kelly, J. M.; Hermann, H.; Koerner von Gustorf, E. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1973, 105-106.

(25) Kelly, J. M.; Bent, D. V.; Hermann, H.; Schulte-Frohlinde, D.; Koerner von Gustorf, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 69, 259-269.

(26) Bonneau, R.; Kelly, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1220-1221.

(27) Lees, A. J.; Adamson, A. W. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 0000.

(28) See for e.g. Mann, K. R.; Gray, H. B.; Hammond, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 306-307.

(29) Ford, P.; Rudd, D. P.; Gaunder, R.; Taube, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 1187-1194.

(30) Ford, P. C.; Stuermer, D. H.; McDonald, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6209-6211.

(31) Chaisson, D. A.; Hintze, R. E.; Stuermer, D. H.; Petersen, J. D.; Mcdonald, D. P.; Ford, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6665-6673.

(32) Hintze, R. E.; Ford, P. C. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 1211-1213.

(33) Malouf, G.; Ford, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 601-603.

(34) Ford, P. C. Rev. Chem. Intermed. 1979, 2, 267-296.

(35) Crosby, G. A.; Hipps, K. W.; Elfring, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 629-630.

(36) Lin, C-T.; Sutin, N. J. Phys. Chem. 1976, 80, 97-105.

(37) Creutz, C.; Sutin, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6384-6385.

(38) Lin, C-T.; Böttcher, W.; Chou, M.; Creutz, C.; Sutin, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6536-6544.

(39) Young, R. C.; Meyer, T. J.; Whitten, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 286-287.

(40) Van Houten, J.; Watts, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4853-4858.

(41) Creutz, C.; Sutin, N. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 496-499.

(42) Gray, H. B.; Billig, E.; Wojcicki, A.; Farona, M. Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 1281-1288.

(43) Herberhold, M.; Brabetz, H. Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 3896-3908.

(44) Herberhold, M.; Brabetz, H. Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 3909-3917.

(45) Dieck, H. T.; Renk, I. W. Angew. Chem. 1970, 82, 805-807.

(46) Fischer, E. O.; Kollmeier, H. J.; Kreiter, C. G.; Müller, J.; Fischer, R. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 22, C39-C42.

(47) Darensbourg, D. J.; Brown, T. L. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 959-966.

(48) Wrighton, M.; Morse, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 998-1003.

(49) Wrighton, M. S.; Morse, D. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 97, 405-420.

(50) Wrighton, M. S.; Morse, D. L.; Gray, H. B.; Ottesen, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 1111-1119.

(51) Wrighton, M. S.; Abrahamson, H. B.; Morse, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4105-4109.

(52) Luong, J. C.; Faltynek, R. A.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1597-1598.

(53) Vlieck, R. M. E.; Zandstra, P. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1975, 31, 487-491.

(54) Blakney, G. B.; Allen, W. F. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 2763-2770.

(55) Frazier, C. C.; Hisch, H. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2736-2744.

(56) Dahlgren, R. M.; Zink, J. I. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 3154-3161.

(57) Dahlgren, R. M.; Zink, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1448-1454.

(58) Dahlgren, R. M.; Zink, J. I. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 597-602.

(59) Boxhoorn, G.; Oskam, A.; Gibson, E. P.; Narayanaswamy, R.; Rest, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 783-786.

(60) Wrighton, M.; Hammond, G. S.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4336-4337.

(61) Wrighton, M.; Hammond, G. S.; Gray, H. B. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 3122-3124.

(62) Lees, A. J.; Adamson, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6874-6876.

(63) Calvert, J. G.; Pitts, J. N. "Photochemistry" Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1966; p. 298.

(64) Birks, J. B. "Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules", Wiley Interscience: New York, 1970; p. 182.

(65) Fang, T-S.; Singer, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6276-6278.

(66) Ballardini, R.; Varani, G.; Wasgestian, H. F.; Moggi, L.; Balzani, V. J. Phys. Chem. 1973, 77, 2947-2951.

(67) Flamigni, L. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 1979, 13, 133-138.

(68) Wagner, P. J.; Kemppainen, A. E.; Schott, H. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5604-5614.

(69) Porter, G. B. "Concepts of Inorganic Photochemstry",; Adamson, A. W.; Fleischauer, P. F., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1975, pps 37-79.

(70) Kane-Maguire, N. A. P.; Toney, C. G.; Swiger, B.; Adamson, A. W.; Wright, R. E. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1977, 22, L11-L13.

(71) See references in 16 and 51; also Wrighton, M. S., private communication.

(72) Gutierrez, A. R.; Adamson, A. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1978, 82, 902-907.

(73) Fukuda, R.; Walters, R. T.; Macke, H.; Adamson, A. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1979, 83, 2097-2103.

(74) Hatchard, C. G.; Parker, C. A. Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A. 1956, 235, 518-536.

(75) Wegner, E. E.; Adamson, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 394-404.

Table I

Absorption Band Maxima for $W(CO)_5L$ Complexes at 298 K.

L	Band Maxima (λ, nm; (ϵ), M^{-1} cm^{-1}) Solvent				
	Methylcyclohexane	Iso-octane	Benzene	THF	Ethanol
4-ACpyr	404 (9650) 442 (9590)	404 (8520) 440 (8310)	402 (9810)	398 (9600)	398 (9540)
4-BNpyr	405 (8780) 435 (7790)	405 (7720) 435 (6870)	403 (9530)	398 (9380)	398 (9310)
4-CNpyr	404 (7280) 454 (8680)	404 (6180) 455 (7810)	404 (8040)	398 (8390)	398 (8370)
4-FMpyr	402 (5990) 470 (7640)	402 (5830) 470 (6850)	402 (7280)	399 (6940)	398 (6850)

Table II

Emission Data[a] for $W(CO)_5L$ complexes at 298 K

L	Emission Maxima (kK)[b]			Luminescence Quantum Yield ($X10^{-4}$)	
	Solid	Solvent[c]		Solvent[c]	
		Methyl-cyclohexane	Benzene	Methyl-cyclohexane	Benzene
4-ACpyr	17.25	16.08	15.90	5.3	1.6
4-BNpyr	18.75	16.23	16.42	7.7	2.3
4-CNpyr	16.80	15.88	15.54	2.2	1.3
4-FMpyr	16.60	15.85	15.38		1.0

[a]The excitation wavelength is 400 nm.

[b]Corrected for variation in photomultiplier response as a function of wavelength.

[c]$5\text{-}8x10^{-5}$ M de-aerated solutions.

Table III Solvent and Temperature Dependence of Emission Lifetime for $W(CO)_5L$ Complexes

Temperature (K)	L	Emission Lifetime (μs)[a]					
		Solid	Solvent[b]				
			Methyl-cyclohexane	Iso-octane	Benzene	THF	Ethanol
278	4-ACpyr	1.401	0.554	0.562	0.258	0.057	0.028
	4-BNpyr	1.638	0.621	0.577	0.235	0.043	0.027
	4-CNpyr	2.326	0.438	0.435	0.269	0.075	0.034
	4-FMpyr	0.528	0.333	0.359	0.139	0.040	0.025
283	4-ACpyr	1.305	0.519	0.532	0.243	0.048	0.026
	4-BNpyr	1.529	0.587	0.520	0.222	0.034	0.025
	4-CNpyr	2.167	0.422	0.385	0.250	0.069	0.031
	4-FMpyr	0.501	0.313	0.341	0.133	0.038	0.023
288	4-ACpyr	1.224	0.496	0.484	0.225		
	4-BNpyr	1.439	0.538	0.480	0.213		
	4-CNpyr	2.066	0.393	0.355	0.233		
	4-FMpyr	0.480	0.293	0.320	0.124		
293	4-ACpyr	1.142	0.457	0.439	0.211		
	4-BNpyr	1.344	0.505	0.441	0.199		
	4-CNpyr	1.944	0.389	0.327	0.219		
	4-FMpyr	0.462	0.273	0.307	0.115		
298	4-ACpyr	1.072	0.428	0.390	0.197		
	4-BNpyr	1.269	0.459	0.418	0.190		
	4-CNpyr	1.822	0.360	0.297	0.202		
	4-FMpyr	0.433	0.251	0.280	0.109		
303	4-ACpyr	1.011	0.393	0.352	0.189		
	4-BNpyr	1.193	0.430	0.384	0.177		
	4-CNpyr	1.721	0.343	0.269	0.187		
	4-FMpyr	0.420	0.234	0.256	0.101		

[a]The excitation wavelength is 353 nm.

[b]$4\text{-}8\times10^{-5}$M de-aerated solutions.

Table IV

Apparent Activation Energy, E,* and Frequency Factor, A, of $W(CO)_5L$ Complexes from Emission Lifetime Data.

L	Apparent Activation Energy (kcal mol^{-1})				Frequency Factor, A ($x10^8$ s^{-1})			
	Solid	Solvent			Solid		Solvent	
		Methyl-cyclohexane	Iso-octane	Benzene		Methyl-cyclohexane	Iso-octane	Benzene
4-ACpyr	2.2	2.3	3.2	2.2	0.4	1.1	5.8	1.9
4-BMpyr	2.1	2.5	2.7	1.9	0.3	1.5	2.5	1.2
4-CNpyr	2.0	1.5	3.1	2.4	0.2	0.4	6.6	2.9
4-FMpyr	1.6	2.4	2.2	2.2	0.3	2.2	1.5	3.6

Table V

Solvent and Temperature Dependence of Photosubstitution Quantum Yields for $5\text{-}7\times10^{-5}$ M $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in Solutions Containing 0.05 M Ethanol. Irradiation Wavelength is 465 nm.

Temperature	Quantum Yield Solvent				
(K)	Methyl-cyclohexane	Iso-octane	Benzene	THF	Ethanol
283	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.029
283	0.038	0.037	0.044		
293	0.048	0.048	0.053		
298	0.062	0.063	0.061		
303	0/074	0.072	0.078		

Table VI

Temperature Dependence of Photosubstitution Quantum Yield for 5-8x10^{-5}M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) and $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) in Methylcyclohexane Containing 0.05 M Ethanol. Irradiation wavelength is 465 nm.

Temperature (K)	Quantum Yield	
	$W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr)	$W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr)
283	0.016	0.014
288	0.020	0.018
293	0.025	0.021
298	0.030	0.027
303	0.040	0.035

Figure Legends

Figure 1 — Ligand and solvent effects on the electronic absorption spectra of $W(CO)_5L$ complexes at 298 K.
a) $W(CO)_5L$ in methylcyclohexane, L = 4-ACpyr (A), 4-BNpyr (B), 4-CNpyr (C), 4-FMpyr (D) and CO (E).
b) $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in (—) methylcyclohexane and (---) 1:1 (by Vol.) methylcyclohexane/benzene..

Figure 2 — Corrected emission spectra at 298 K of a) $W(CO)_5$4-ACpyr, (b) $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr), c) $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) and d) $W(CO)_5$(4-FMpyr); (———) solid, (-----) 6-8x10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5L$ in methylcyclohexane and (—·—) 4-6x10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5L$ in benzene. Excitation wavelength is 400 nm. Intensities are scaled arbitrarily to make maxima equal.

Figure 3 — Quenching of 7.5x10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) processes at 298 K by anthracene in methylcyclohexane containing 0.05 M ethanol. (O, $\tau°/\tau$, □ , $\phi°/\phi$, Δ, $\phi°/\phi$). Excitation wavelengths are O 530 nm, □ 520 nm and Δ 480 nm.

Figure 4 — Electronic absorption spectral changes accompanying the 485 nm irradiation (equal time intervals) at 298 K of a) 5.4x10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) and b) 5.4x10^{-5} M $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) in methylcyclohexane. Both solutions contain 0.05 M ethanol, the entering ligand.

Figure 5 Excited-state electronic absorption spectra at 298 K of O $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr), □ $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and Δ $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in a) methylcyclohexane and b) benzene.

Figure 6 Transient electronic absorption spectra at 298 K following laser pulse photolysis of O $W(CO)_5$(4-ACpyr) □ $W(CO)_5$(4-BNpyr) and Δ $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in a) methylcyclohexane and b) benzene.

Figure 7 Test for unquenchable photolysis of $W(CO)_5$(4-CNpyr) in methylcyclohexane. Quencher is anthracene.

Figure 8 Energy v's distortion diagrams for $W(CO)_5L$. Heavy horizontal lines represent thexi (thermally equilibrated excited) states. Light horizontal lines represent successive complex-solvent cage energies as a vibrationally excited state relaxes to its thexi state (only a few of the lines are shown). Heavy vertical lines denote radiative processes, wavy lines denote non-radiative processes.


a
b
A
B
C
D
E
10
8
6
4
2
0
300
350
400
450
500
550
600
x 10³ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹
Wavelength, nm


a
b
c
d
Relative Intensity, arbitrary units
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
20
18
16
14
Energy, kK



Fig 3


a
b
0
1
2
3
4
Optical Density
Wavelength, nm
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
300
350
400
450
500
550

Fig 4



Fig 5



Fig. 6


0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
ϕ/ϕ^0
0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
τ/τ^0


Enery
LF
MLCT
-L
MLCT
(a)
LF
-L
MLCT
MLCT
(b)

SP472-3/A1

472:GAN:716:ddc
78u472-608

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1
U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus Office of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group American Embassy APO San Francisco 96503	1
Mr. James Kelley DTNSRDC Code 2803 Annapolis, Maryland 21402	1

SP482-3/A23

472:GAN:716:ddc
78u472-608

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 051A

	No. Copies
Dr. M. A. El-Sayed Department of Chemistry University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California 90024	1
Dr. E. R. Bernstein Department of Chemistry Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado 80521	1
Dr. C. A. Heller Naval Weapons Center Code 6059 China Lake, California 93555	1
Dr. J. R. MacDonald Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Code 6110 Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. G. B. Schuster Chemistry Department University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801	1
Dr. A. Adamson Department of Chemistry University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007	1
Dr. M. S. Wrighton Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
Dr. M. Rauhut Chemical Research Division American Cyanamid Company Bound Brook, New Jersey 08805	1
Dr. J. I. Zink Department of Chemistry University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California 90024	1
Dr. D. Haarer IBM San Jose Research Center 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, California 95143	1
Dr. John Cooper Code 6130 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. William M. Jackson Department of Chemistry Howard University Washington, DC 20059	1
Dr. George E. Walraffen Department of Chemistry Howard University Washington, DC 20059	1


ATE
LMED
-8